Artificial Intelligence and Gender Inequality: Mitigation Strategies and Governance Insights from Qatar

Darina Saliba Abi Chedid[*1]

[1.] College of Law, Qatar University, ORCID: 0009-0002-8140-2919
* Corresponding author: Darina Saliba Abi Chedid (dsaliba@qu.edu.qa)

Abstract: This research examines the complex relationship between artificial intelligence (AI) systems and gender inequality, focusing on technical and sociological dimensions. This paper analyzes current literature and empirical studies and investigates how AI technologies influence gender disparities in workforce participation, skill acquisition, and algorithmic decision-making. Four key bias mitigation strategies are examined: down-sampling, gender-aware hyperparameter tuning, up-sampling, and probabilistic gender proxy modeling, evaluating their effectiveness in reducing discrimination. AI systems can reinforce gender biases, but if designed and regulated properly, they can also promote equality. Effective bias mitigation requires a combination of technical solutions, regulatory frameworks, and institutional policies. The paper includes a case study on Qatar's initiatives to advance gender equality through economic and technological empowerment, suggesting a national strategy that addresses challenges and leverages opportunities in line with Qatar's National Vision 2030. The research investigates how such a strategy could enhance women's economic and digital participation while addressing structural barriers and cultural factors. By utilizing international standards alongside local context, this study offers a policy development framework that aligns with Qatar's development goals while fostering sustainable and inclusive economic growth. The paper concludes with recommendations for creating AI systems that support gender equality.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Gender Equality, Qatar, Inclusive Workplace

1. Introduction

Integrating artificial intelligence into societal systems presents opportunities and challenges for gender equality. Despite decades of progress in addressing gender biases, the rapid deployment of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies has introduced new complexities in the pursuit of equity. Research indicates that AI systems can inadvertently amplify gender disparities, particularly in workforce participation, educational opportunities, and algorithmic decision-making processes (Tang, Li, Hu, Zeng, & Du, 2025). The challenge of gender bias in AI operates on multiple levels: from the composition of development teams to the structure of training data and from the design of algorithms to their implementation in real-world contexts. Contemporary research shows that while efforts to mitigate algorithmic bias have increased, many approaches fail to adequately address the fundamental ways gender ideology is embedded in technological systems (Leavy, 2018).
. This study critically explores the intersection between artificial intelligence and gender inequality through multiple analytical perspectives. First, it analyzes the structural factors contributing to gender bias in AI systems, including workforce demographics and skill distribution patterns. Second, it evaluates various technical approaches to bias mitigation, assessing their effectiveness and implementation challenges. Finally, it examines the regulatory frameworks and policy initiatives to ensure responsible AI development and deployment. The significance of this research lies in its comprehensive approach to understanding how AI systems can be designed and implemented to promote rather than hinder gender equality. As AI reshapes social and economic systems, ensuring equitable outcomes becomes increasingly critical for sustainable technological development.

2. Literature Review and Theoretical Framework

The intersection of AI and gender inequality has attracted considerable scholarly attention, especially concerning how AI systems can either perpetuate or reduce existing gender disparities. The literature presents several key theoretical frameworks and research findings that shed light on the complex

relationship between gender bias and AI. Studies indicate that many current discussions about gender bias in AI mirror broader societal conversations about gender equality, which began during the second-wave feminist movement of the 1960s. (Fraile-Rojas, De-Pablos-Heredero, & Mendez-Suarez, 2025). This historical parallel suggests that technological advances alone may not address deeply rooted structural inequalities. A comprehensive analysis conducted by the United Nations Organization for Education, Culture, and Science (UNESCO) in 2024 shows that women remain underrepresented in the technology sector. Currently, they hold only 29% of global research and development positions in science and are 25% less likely to utilize digital technology for basic tasks effectively. The landscape of AI development also reflects significant gender disparities, exacerbating technical challenges. Quantitative studies indicate that male developers comprise approximately 78% of AI development teams, with more significant imbalances observed in leadership roles. (UNESCO, 2024). This demographic slope creates what researchers term an "echo chamber effect" in algorithm development (Goswami, 2025). The "echo chamber effect" in algorithm development describes a scenario in which a uniformity among developers fosters the reinforcement of existing biases and perspectives in AI systems. This happens because a mostly homogenous group is inclined to design algorithms that mirror their own experiences and views, restricting the incorporation of varied perspectives and potentially sustaining gender and other biases in AI applications (Lin, 2023). In the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) countries, the gender gap in acquiring technical skills is significant. Women make up only 26% of data and AI professionals worldwide, and in many leading institutions, female authorship in AI research is below 20%. Additionally, young men aged 16 to 24 exhibit programming skills at more than double the rate of their female counterparts. (Miranda, 2011; OECD, 2024)

A crucial theoretical framework emerging from the literature focuses on embedding gender bias in the language systems used to train AI models. Kim and Lee (Kim, Oh, & Lee, 2024) identify two primary mechanisms for this bias. The first mechanism is known as “semantic associations.” This refers to how word embeddings often associate leadership and technical roles with masculine attributes. Consequently, natural language processing systems trained on historical texts inherit these traditionally biased gender associations. The second mechanism is called “algorithmic amplification.” This means that machine learning systems can amplify gender biases by factors ranging from 1.1 to 1.6 times. Additionally, automated decision systems show measurable bias in resume screening, credit scoring, and healthcare risk assessment. (Nadeem, Marjanovic, & Abedin, 2022)

The literature also discusses regulatory approaches to tackling gender bias in AI systems. The European Union (EU) has developed a comprehensive legal framework, notably through the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), which marks a significant step forward in protecting against algorithmic discrimination (European Commission, 2023). However, researchers emphasize that the effectiveness of these regulations largely depends on how well they are implemented and enforced. (Martinez R., 2019).

This research employs a systematic review methodology to thoroughly examine the existing literature, empirical studies, and technical documentation related to gender bias in AI systems. The analysis focuses on three main areas: technical bias mitigation strategies, regulatory frameworks, and implementation outcomes. The research methodology includes a document analysis that systematically reviews academic publications from 2018 to 2024 and reviews regulatory documentation, with particular emphasis on EU frameworks. Additionally, relevant technical documentation concerning bias mitigation strategies will be carefully analyzed to provide a comprehensive understanding of the current landscape. Furthermore, a quantitative data analysis will evaluate discrimination reduction metrics across various bias mitigation approaches. The research will also assess workforce demographic data and analyze skill distribution patterns among different gender groups to gain deeper insights into the impact of these strategies.

Finally, a comparative analysis will be conducted to evaluate different regulatory frameworks and the effectiveness of various strategies for mitigating bias. This analysis will also compare implementation approaches across different jurisdictions, providing a comprehensive perspective. Through these interconnected methodologies, the research aims to significantly contribute to the discussion on gender bias in AI systems.

3. Analysis and Findings

The analysis reveals several key findings regarding the intersection of AI systems and gender inequality, particularly regarding bias mitigation strategies and their effectiveness. Examination of AI's technical and sociological dimensions demonstrates that inadequately designed systems may perpetuate gender-based disparities; however, implementation of appropriate interventions can advance gender parity. Qatar's strategic initiatives, aligned with National Vision 2030, exemplify this potential through economic and technological empowerment programs targeting women's participation in digital economies while addressing systemic barriers. The integration of international best practices with contextual considerations provides a robust framework for policy formulation supporting sustainable inclusive development. The findings underscore the imperative for AI governance structures that prioritize gender equity through systematic bias mitigation protocols.

3.1 Technical Bias Mitigation Strategies

The growing concern about gender bias in AI systems has led to research on effective mitigation strategies. Four primary approaches have emerged, each demonstrating varying levels of success in tackling this pressing issue.

Down-sampling for gender, rebalancing removes data points randomly from the majority class. This approach has been shown to reduce discrimination by 9.43%. While it offers advantages such as lower computational costs, it also risks losing valuable information (Chen, 2024).

In contrast, gender-aware hyperparameter tuning operates at the model optimization level, significantly reducing discrimination at 24.56%. This method utilizes gender information during the configuration phase while excluding it from the decision-making process, incorporating fairness-aware objective functions to enhance fairness in the model (Marciano, Guarracino, & Bernhardt, 2024).

In addition to these methods, up-sampling for gender rebalancing generates synthetic or additional minority class data points, although it only achieves a 2.55% reduction in discrimination. This technique - the Synthetic Minority Over-sampling Technique (SMOTE) - includes random oversampling with replacement, , and involves targeted data collection and augmentation aimed at resolving class imbalance by creating synthetic examples of the minority class, which in turn improves the performance of machine learning models. (Kumar, 2025; Anderson, Fontinha, & Robson, 2020). Notably, the most effective strategy identified is Probabilistic Gender Proxy (PGP) modeling, which offers a remarkable 62.08% reduction in discrimination. PGP (Probabilistic Gender Proxy) modeling is a method that minimizes discrimination by developing gender prediction models and utilizing their predictions as proxy features in designated jurisdictions (Kelley et al., 2022). This method, especially beneficial for implementation across different jurisdictions, follows a three-stage process. It involves training gender prediction models, applying these predictions in specific jurisdictions, and using the predictions as proxy features. However, it is essential to carefully consider the accuracy of the predictions and the potential for error propagation. (Martinez, 2019).

3.2 Systemic Implications and Challenges

Despite the potential of bias mitigation strategies, several systemic challenges hinder their effective implementation. These challenges operate at multiple levels—from technical infrastructure to organizational culture—creating complex barriers that require multifaceted solutions.

To begin with, AI systems are built on training data, yet this base often harbors systematic flaws that sustain gender inequality. Training datasets often lack sufficient representation of women across several fields, highlighting historical disparities in data gathering methods. For example, medical datasets may feature a majority of male subjects, resulting in AI systems that are less effective for female patients. Additionally, language models that utilize historical texts absorb centuries of gendered stereotypes and assumptions, embedding these biases into their core structures (Adil & Sakhamuri, 2023). The challenge encompasses not only quantity but also quality and context. Even when women are present in datasets, they may be depicted in stereotyped roles, thereby reinforcing rather than confronting gender biases. Tackling these foundational issues necessitates not just gathering more diverse data but also critically

assessing how data is labeled, categorized, and processed to prevent the perpetuation of harmful stereotypes. Moreover, the makeup of AI development teams has a considerable impact on system design and results. Recent statistics highlight significant gender disparities in AI development, indicating that women represent a minimal portion of technical teams. This uniformity creates blind spots in system design, where possible gender-related concerns might be overlooked or ignored. In contrast, diverse teams offer a range of perspectives that are essential for recognizing and addressing biases that might otherwise be overlooked by uniform groups. Additionally, the absence of diversity fosters a self-perpetuating cycle. Women who join male-dominated teams often encounter implicit biases, microaggressions, and restricted opportunities for advancement, resulting in increased turnover rates. This further diminishes diversity and continues the cycle, making it more challenging to enact meaningful change without organized intervention. ((Lin, 2023)

Furthermore, workforce development challenges create complex barriers to achieving gender equity in AI. Gender disparities in technical skills often emerge early in education, where societal stereotypes and teaching practices can deter girls from engaging in STEM subjects. This early split leads to accumulating disadvantages that continue throughout educational and professional paths (Cynthia & Roy, 2025).

Limited access to advanced training further intensifies these initial gaps. Women frequently encounter financial, time-related, or social obstacles that hinder their pursuit of further certifications, attendance at conferences, or participation in vital continuous learning opportunities necessary for advancement in the fast-changing AI sector. These challenges are often worsened by caregiving duties that disproportionately affect women.

The "leaky pipeline" metaphor effectively illustrates the gradual departure of women from STEM careers, including AI and similar fields. This concept highlights how women consistently leave academic and professional paths at different stages, from undergraduate studies to senior leadership roles. In the AI sector, women might exit due to unwelcoming work environments, scarce opportunities for advancement, challenges in balancing work and life, or insufficient mentorship and support systems (Lauren, 2022). The pipeline has leaks at crucial points: during the transition from education to the workforce, following significant life changes like childbirth, and at promotion stages where implicit biases can influence advancement decisions. Each exit signifies not just a personal loss but also a reduction in diversity within AI development, reinforcing the cycle of bias and inequality.

These systemic challenges are intricately linked, forming a complex web of barriers that cannot be tackled separately. Issues in data infrastructure reflect and perpetuate the insufficient diversity within development teams, while obstacles in workforce development worsen the leaky pipeline phenomenon. This interconnectedness indicates that isolated solutions will fall short; thus, comprehensive and coordinated interventions are essential for creating meaningful change. To address these challenges, simultaneous efforts must be undertaken on various fronts: enhancing data collection and curation practices, adopting inclusive hiring and retention strategies, increasing access to technical education and training, and fostering workplace cultures that prioritize diversity (Adil & Sakhamuri, 2023). Only through such all-encompassing approaches can the AI community hope to dismantle these systemic barriers and harness the full potential of bias mitigation strategies for building gender-equitable AI systems.

3.3 Regulatory Framework Analysis

Regulatory frameworks that address gender bias in artificial intelligence (AI) differ significantly in their approaches. The European Union (EU)framework is based on the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights, particularly Article 21, which offers crucial protections against discrimination based on gender, ethnicity, and religious beliefs (European Commission, 2023).

Additionally, the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), established in 2018, represents a significant advancement in data protection legislation, setting global standards for organizations that process the data of EU residents. Notably, Article 35 of the GDPR requires the completion of Data Protection Impact Assessments (DPIAs) for high-risk processing activities, especially those involving innovative technologies. This requirement promotes transparency and "explainability" in AI systems and

enforces systematic bias audits to identify and mitigate potential discriminatory outcomes. (Adil & Sakhamuri, 2023).

Effectively implementing regulatory requirements involves several key operational components. First, adopting transparency and "explainability" protocols ensures that AI systems can demonstrate verifiable decision-making processes (Ali, 2024). Continuous professional development through regular training programs for data scientists and AI developers is also essential to maintain a comprehensive understanding of the implications of AI technologies (Smith, Johnson, & Davis, 2021). Additionally, implementing strong user engagement mechanisms, including feedback systems, allows users to share their experiences and concerns regarding AI operations (Yin, Li, & Qiu, 2023). Moreover, the intersection of AI, gender equality, and regulatory policy poses complex challenges for contemporary governance structures (Zaidan & Ibrahim, 2024). Research shows that algorithmic systems used in advertising, education, and law enforcement can unintentionally reinforce societal biases and worsen inequalities (Brown, Davidovic, & Hasan, 2021). This is especially concerning given projections that suggest around 30% of current jobs in the UK may be at risk of automation by 2030 (PwC, 2018). This highlights the urgent need for policy approaches sensitive to gender issues.

Recent regulatory developments within the EU have further reinforced user protections in the digital space, incorporating measures that safeguard freedom of expression and establish multiple pathways for dispute resolution. These pathways include platform-level complaints and alternative dispute-resolution mechanisms, collectively contributing to a more equitable digital environment (European Commission, 2023). The evolving landscape underscores the importance of comprehensive legal frameworks that address the challenges posed by AI and advance the broader goals of gender equality and non-discrimination.

3.4 Future Development Directions

Looking to the future, several critical areas for intervention have been identified to address gender inequality in AI systems. These directions include both technical innovations and institutional transformations that together create a comprehensive strategy for promoting gender equity in the AI ecosystem.

To begin with, the creation of gender-sensitive training algorithms marks a significant change in AI system design. These algorithms take gender-related factors into account during training and utilize methods like adversarial debiasing and fairness constraints to reduce biased outcomes (Martinez, 2019). Furthermore, robust bias detection tools are crucial for ongoing oversight and assessment of AI systems throughout their lifespan. These tools utilize statistical analysis and fairness metrics to pinpoint cases where AI models might generate gender-biased results, allowing developers to proactively apply corrective measures. Moreover, creating balanced training datasets is another essential technical approach. This requires structured efforts to secure a representative gender balance in data collection, tackling the longstanding underrepresentation of women in different fields. Methods like data augmentation, synthetic data generation, and thoughtful sampling can contribute to a more equitable dataset, ultimately leading to AI systems that operate fairly across gender boundaries. In addition to technical solutions, institutional changes are vital for fostering sustainable change. Focused recruitment strategies emphasize the attraction and retention of women in AI-related professions by collaborating with educational institutions, using diversity-centered job postings, and implementing unbiased hiring processes. These approaches go beyond simple numerical representation to guarantee that women occupy significant positions throughout all tiers of AI development and governance (Sánchez-García, Merayo-Álvarez, Calvo-Barbero, & Diez-Gracia, 2023).

Besides, building inclusive workplace cultures necessitates structured organizational changes, such as adopting family-friendly policies, creating explicit anti-discrimination measures, and encouraging work-life balance initiatives. (Smith, 2020; Johnson 2021) These cultural shifts aid in retaining female talent and ensuring their contributions are acknowledged and valued within AI organizations. Furthermore, mentorship programs act as essential pathways for career growth, linking women in AI with seasoned professionals who offer guidance, networking prospects, and sponsorship. To maximize their impact on

advancing gender parity, these programs should be systematically organized with specific goals and quantifiable resultsEducational outreach initiatives address the pipeline issue by involving young women and girls in STEM education from the beginning (CGI, 2023; Girls Who Code, 2023). These programs feature coding camps, AI literacy workshops, and collaborations with schools to clarify technology career options and motivate the upcoming generation of female AI experts.

Consequently, the combination of technical and institutional interventions embodies a comprehensive strategy crucial for significant advancement. Technical measures alone cannot resolve ingrained societal biases, whereas institutional reforms need technological backing to be implemented effectively. This unified framework recognizes that gender bias in AI is a technical obstacle needing algorithmic remedies and a societal concern requiring cultural and organizational changes (O'Connor & Liu, 2024). By addressing these two paths concurrently, the AI community can aim to develop systems that not only prevent the perpetuation of gender biases but also promote a more just technological future.

4. Advancing Gender Equality Through Economic and Technological Empowerment: A Qatar Case Study

Economic and technological empowerment represent two crucial pillars for women to achieve greater control over their lives in the modern era. As former UN Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon emphasized in his 2016 address to the High-Level Panel on Women's Economic Empowerment, "economic empowerment is a uniquely potent way for women to achieve greater control over their own lives," while noting that "too often, women are unpaid or underpaid and unable to be dynamic economic actors" This observation becomes particularly relevant in emerging technologies, especially artificial intelligence (AI), where gender disparities persist globally.

Qatar has emerged as a notable case study that addresses these dual challenges. The country's commitment to women's empowerment is firmly anchored in its Qatar National Vision 2030, which emphasizes gender equality as a central pillar of national development. This commitment has yielded impressive results, with Qatar currently ranking first in the Arab world and 43rd globally in the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)'s Human Development Index (2022). The human aspect of the National Vision 2030 seeks to preserve Arab and Islamic values while empowering women in political and economic decision-making roles, as outlined in the Qatari Constitution 2003 (Qatar Government Communications Office, 2025).

4.1 Economic Participation and Leadership

According to the Labour Force Sample Survey of the Planning and Statistics Authority (2022), Qatar leads the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) regarding economically active women, with 92% of female nationals employed in the public sector (Planning & Statistics Authority, 2022). However, the International Labour Organization (ILO) reports persistent gender wage gaps, with women earning less than men for work of equal value. Studies funded by Qatar National Research Fund (QNRF)'s Undergraduate Research Experience Program (Northwestern University 2017-2019) revealed that while Qatari women generally achieve higher education levels than their male counterparts, they remain underrepresented in various economic sectors (Monir, 2017). Despite their qualifications, this research highlights the structural and cultural barriers that hinder women's economic involvement. By examining these dynamics, the study provides valuable insights into how economic policies and societal norms affect women's career opportunities and economic empowerment in Qatar.

4.2 Cultural Harmony and Women's Empowerment

Qatar's strategy for advancing women's economic and technological empowerment represents a unique model that balances Islamic principles with progressive gender policies. This initiative is grounded in Islamic jurisprudence (fiqh), which endorses women's economic involvement and property rights, supported by Quranic verses affirming women's autonomy to earn and manage their wealth independently (Samsodien, 2024). This religious underpinning has empowered Qatar to pursue ambitious women's empowerment initiatives while ensuring cultural relevance. Programs such as those

by the Qatar Foundation, including the Qatar Science and Technology Park and Education City, illustrate this fusion by fostering gender-inclusive innovation ecosystems respectful of Islamic values like modest professional environments and family-oriented policies (Hazratji, 2021). Additionally, Qatar's labor law reforms guarantee equal pay and prohibit gender discrimination, framed within Islamic tenets of justice ('adl) and equality (musawah), highlighting that religious values can facilitate, rather than obstruct, women's economic progress (International Labour Organization, 2022). This culturally sensitive method has led to notable successes: Qatari women represent 51% of the national workforce and hold 35% of leadership roles in the public sector, all while preserving strong family dynamics and cultural identity (Qatar Planning and Statistics Authority, 2023). The effectiveness of this model indicates that successful women's empowerment in Muslim-majority societies does not necessitate forsaking religious values but rather involves their progressive interpretation and application to modern challenges.

4.3 Women in AI and Technology: Highlighting some private sector initiatives

Qatar has made significant strides in advancing women's participation in AI through various initiatives. The College of Engineering at Qatar University has experienced increased female participation in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) fields. At the same time, Carnegie Mellon University in Qatar reports that female students comprise approximately 40% of their computer science program as of 2023. The Qatar Computing Research Institute (QCRI) has implemented specific programs to support women in AI research and development, including the Women in Data Science (WiDS) initiative, aiming at addressing gender imbalance in data science and AI by providing training, mentorship, and networking opportunities for women, which has trained over 200 women in AI and machine learning since 2019 (QCRI, 2025). In the private sector, companies have demonstrated commitment to gender diversity in AI. Qatar Airways' AI talent development program reports 45% female participation (Qatar Airways Sustainability Report, 2022), while Q-CERT, focusing on enhancing cybersecurity in Qatar, including AI security, has achieved 38% female representation in its AI security division, reflecting its commitment to gender diversity and inclusion in the tech sector(QCRI, 2025). These achievements are complemented by international collaborations, including the "AI and Gender Equality" program with UNESCO (UNESCO, 2022) and initiatives with Microsoft's AI for Good program (G42, 2025).

4.4 Challenges, Future Directions, and Policy Implications

Despite these achievements, several challenges persist. The latest National Development Strategy (NDS3) for 2024-2030 acknowledges the need to address structural barriers and cultural norms that influence women's participation in economic and technological sectors. Work-life balance remains a significant concern, particularly in AI, where long hours and continuous learning are often required. UNESCO (2024) reports that women comprise only 22% of the global AI workforce, highlighting the need for continued efforts to increase representation.

Qatar's approach to women's empowerment in both economic and technological spheres offers valuable lessons for other nations. The country's strategy includes:

- Educational Enhancement: Expanding AI education programs for women and increasing funding for women-led AI research projects.
- Institutional Support: Creating mechanisms for regular stakeholder feedback and developing sector-specific implementation guidelines.
- Cultural Considerations: Designing awareness campaigns to address cultural barriers while maintaining sensitivity to local values.
- Work-Life Balance: Implementing policies such as part-time employment options and flexible working arrangements.
- International Collaboration: Strengthening partnerships with global institutions while aligning with local needs and cultural contexts.

The intersection of economic and technological empowerment requires a multifaceted approach that addresses technical and institutional barriers. As Nadeem and Abedin (2022) note, while technical solutions promise to reduce discrimination rates, their effectiveness depends significantly on the institutional and regulatory context in which they are implemented.

Qatar's commitment to gender equality in both economic and technological spheres positions it as a regional leader in promoting women's empowerment. Through its comprehensive approach spanning education, institutional support, and innovative programs, Qatar provides a model for addressing gender disparities in both traditional and emerging sectors. As artificial intelligence continues to shape the global economy, Qatar's strategic investment in women's participation serves both national interests. It contributes to the broader global dialogue on gender equality in technology and economic development. Moving forward, research should focus on examining the long-term effectiveness of various empowerment strategies, assessing the impact of regulatory frameworks, and investigating the intersection of gender bias with other forms of discrimination in economic and technological contexts. By maintaining this comprehensive approach, Qatar can continue to enhance theoretical understanding and practical application of women's empowerment initiatives in the rapidly evolving global landscape.

5. Conclusion, Insights and Implications

The investigation of gender bias in AI systems uncovers a complex interplay between technical remedies and required institutional reforms. While various strategies for mitigating technical bias show promise, their effectiveness is significantly influenced by the specific contexts in which they are implemented and the existing regulatory landscape. For instance, an analysis of Pretty Good Privacy) (PGP) modeling, - an encryption tool that ensures cryptographic privacy and authentication for data transmission, commonly used to protect emails, files, and other types of data - indicates a substantial reduction in discrimination rates (62.08%) compared to alternative methods, suggesting that advanced proxy techniques may be particularly well-suited for cross-jurisdictional applications. However, weighing these benefits against potential risks associated with prediction inaccuracies is crucial, and careful monitoring of the resulting outcomes is required (Nadeem, Marjanovic, & Abedin, 2022).

Moreover, the findings highlight the critical importance of addressing foundational structural issues. The underrepresentation of women in AI roles—comprising only 22% of the global AI workforce—indicates that technical solutions alone are inadequate without concurrent reforms in workforce development and institutional practices (UNESCO, 2024). Therefore, a multifaceted approach is required to combat gender bias in AI systems, integrating technical innovation, regulatory oversight, and institutional change. The main recommendations from this research are interrelated and focus on several important areas. First, it is essential to implement comprehensive strategies for detecting and mitigating bias. Second, clear regulatory frameworks must be established, accompanied by strong enforcement mechanisms. Third, there should be increased investment in workforce development and educational initiatives. Finally, it is necessary to create ongoing monitoring and feedback systems to ensure continuous improvement and accountability.

Through Qatar's holistic and far-reaching approach, as a response to gender inequalities within the tech space, women's increased involvement in AI development lies. These encompass a complete methodology from educational projects to state initiatives, the participation of private actors, and foreign collaborations. The above-mentioned factors have given way to certain positive effects, resulting in increased involvement of women and their representations within AI. The success of these initiatives is marked by a good number of female enrolments in the STEM program and a growing number of females in technician positions - a model that has been encouraging other nations in their effort to narrow the gap regarding technology (Jakobs, 2022).

Much work remains ahead, and finding an applicable strategy to overcome some obstacles requires much creativity. Though Qatar has progressed on most counts, cultural considerations still need attention, as do issues related to increasing female participation in senior leadership positions within the AI sector. The country's commitment to developing new initiatives and expanding existing programs points toward a very clear recognition of both challenges and opportunities ahead.

With the strategic path in AI for gender equality moving forward, Qatar has positioned itself as a national leader in regional technological development. Artificial intelligence shapes the world, and hence, Qatar's ongoing process of strategic investment in women's participation in this sector improves its innovative capacities amidst critical concerns regarding gender biases in AI systems. This commitment serves Qatar's national interests yet contributes to the broader global dialogue on gender equality in technology. The success of Qatar's initiatives in promoting women's participation in AI offers lessons for other nations. The importance of sustained multi-stakeholder engagement in achieving gender equality in technological fields is also highlighted. As Qatar continues to develop and refine its approach, its experience gives important insights into how countries can effectively support and empower women in the rapidly evolving field of artificial intelligence.

In conclusion, future studies should concentrate on several important areas. Examining the long-term effectiveness of various bias mitigation strategies is essential. Additionally, assessing the impact of regulatory frameworks on AI development practices is crucial. Researchers should also investigate the intersection of gender bias with other forms of algorithmic discrimination. Moreover, creating standardized metrics to evaluate bias reduction is necessary. By adopting this comprehensive approach, research can significantly enhance the theoretical understanding and practical application of bias mitigation in AI systems.

Funding: This research received no external funding.
Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

Adil, S., & Sakhamuri, R. (2023). Impact of AI-mediated adaptive learning systems on second language acquisition. *Journal of Educational Technology*, 62, 45-67. https://doi.org/10.55463/hkjss.issn.1021-3619.62.72

Ali, I. (2024). AI transparency and explainability. Springer. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Ibad-Ali-6/publication/386416207_AI_Transparency_and_Explainability/links/6750518d790d154bf9c356b6/AI-Transparency-and-Explainability.pdf

Anderson, V., Fontinha, R., & Robson, F. (2020). Research methods in human resource management: Investigating a business issue (4th ed.). CIPD

Brown, S., Davidovic, J., & Hasan, A. (2021). The algorithm audit: Scoring the algorithms that score us. Big Data & Society, 8(1). https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951720983865 (Original work published 2021)

Carnegie Mellon University in Qatar. (2024). Annual report 2023-24. Carnegie Mellon University. https://www.qatar.cmu.edu/wp-content/uploads/2024/11/cmu-q-annual-report-2023-24-final-web.pdf

Chen, J., Ni, C., Lin, P., & Lin, R. (2024). Designing the future: A case study on human-AI co-innovation. Creative Education, 15(3), 474-494. https://doi.org/10.4236/ce.2024.153028

Cynthia, S. T., & Roy, B. (2025). An empirical study on the impact of gender diversity on code quality in AI systems. *arXiv*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2505.03082

European Commission. (2023). Fundamental rights in the digital age. Available at: https://ec.europa.eu/commission/presscorner/detail/it/ip_21_6699

Fraile-Rojas, B., De-Pablos-Heredero, C., & Mendez-Suarez, M. (2025). Female perspectives on algorithmic bias: Implications for AI researchers and practitioners. Management Decision: Quarterly Review of Management Technology. https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-04-2024-0884

G42. (2025). G42 and Microsoft launch Responsible AI Foundation. *G42 News*. https://www.g42.ai/resources/news/g42-and-microsoft-launch-responsible-ai-foundation#:~:text=The%20AI%20for%20Good%20Lab,Middle%20East%20and%20Global%20South.

Girls Who Code. (2023). Closing the gender gap in technology. Retrieved from https://www.girlswhocode.com

Goswami, G. (2025). *AI echo chambers: How algorithms shape reality, influence democracy, and fuel misinformation*. TechRxiv. https://doi.org/10.36227/techrxiv.174059950.03385147/v1González-Sendino, R., Serrano, E., & Bajo, J. (2024). Mitigating bias in artificial intelligence: Fair data generation via causal models for transparent and explainable decision-making. Future Generation Computer Systems, 155, 384-401. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2024.02.023

Hazratji, Z. (2021). *The participation of women in society and its impact in the Islamic scriptures and juristic ijtihad*. Manara - Qatar Research Repository. Thesis. https://doi.org/10.57945/manara.hbku.28434368.v1

Huang, J.-X., Kwon, O.-W., Lee, K.-S., & Kim, Y.-K. (2018). Improve the chatbot performance for the DB-CALL system using a hybrid method and a domain corpus. In P. Taalas, J. Jalkanen, L. Bradley & S. Thouësny (Eds), Future-proof CALL: language learning as exploration and encounters – short papers from EUROCALL 2018 (pp. 100-105). Research-publishing.net. https://doi.org/10.14705/rpnet.2018.26.820

International Labour Organization. (2022). *Four years of labour reforms in Qatar*. Retrieved from https://www.ilo.org/resource/news/four-years-labour-reforms-qatar

Jakobs, Lauren, "The Leaky Pipeline of Women in STEM" (2022). Honors Theses. 100. https://digitalcommons.assumption.edu/honorstheses/100 Kumar, D. (2025). How to Handle Unbalanced Data With SMOTE?" *Baeldung on Computer Science*. www.baeldung.com/cs/synthetic-minority-oversampling-technique.

Kelley, S., Ovchinnikov, A., Hardoon, D. R., & Heinrich, A. (2022). Antidiscrimination laws, artificial intelligence, and gender bias: A case study in nonmortgage fintech lending. *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*, 0(0). https://doi.org/10.1287/msom.2022.1108

Kim, S., Oh, P., & Lee, J. (2024). Algorithmic gender bias: investigating perceptions of discrimination in automated decision-making. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, *43*(16), 4208–4221. https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2024.2306484

Kumar, A., Lee, H.J. and Kim, Y.K. (2009) Indian Consumers' Purchase Intention toward a United States versus Local Brand. Journal of Business Research, 62, 521-527. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2008.06.018

Lari, N. (2016). Gender and equality in the workplace–A study of Qatari women in leadership positions [Doctoral dissertation, Durham University]. Durham University Repository. http://etheses.dur.ac.uk/11855/

Leavy, S. (2018). Gender bias in artificial intelligence: The need for diversity and gender theory in machine learning. In Proceedings of the IEEE/ACM 1st International Workshop on Gender Equality in Software Engineering (pp. 14-16). https://doi.org/10.1145/3195570.3195580

Lin, T. (2023). Breaking the Echo: How AI Shapes Our Digital Echo Chambers." *Propelland*, 2023. www.propelland.com/intelligence/how-ai-shapes-our-digital-echo-chambers/

Marciano, C., Guarracino, M.R., Bernhardt, B.D. (2024). Improved Credit Scoring Model with Hyperparameter Optimization. In: Eremeev, A., Khachay, M., Kochetov, Y., Mazalov, V., Pardalos, P. (eds) Mathematical Optimization Theory and Operations Research: Recent Trends. MOTOR 2024. Communications in Computer and Information Science, vol 2239. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-73365-9_23

Miranda, V. (2011). Cooking, caring and volunteering: Unpaid work around the world (OECD Social, Employment and Migration Working Papers No. 116). OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/5kghrjm8s142-en

Monir, M. (2017). Examining the Gender Gap: Where are the educated Qatari women in the workforce? Northwestern Undergraduate Research Journal, 12, 78-85. Available at: https://www.qatar.northwestern.edu/research/projects/qatari-women.html

Nadeem, A., Marjanovic, O., & Abedin, B. (2022). Gender Bias in AI-Based Decision-Making Systems: A Systematic Literature Review. *Australasian Journal of Information Systems, 26,* 1-34. https://doi.org/10.3127/ajis.v26i0.3835

National Cyber Security Agency. (2024). National Cyber Security Strategy 2024-2030. State of Qatar. https://ncsa.gov.qa/sites/default/files/2024-09/StrategyM9%20-%20Eng%20OL_0.pdf

O'Connor, S., & Liu, H. (2024). Gender bias perpetuation and mitigation in AI technologies: Challenges and opportunities. *AI & Society, 39*(2045–2057). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-023-01675-4

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2024). *Education at a Glance 2024*. OECD Publications. https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/education-at-a-glance-2024_c00cad36-en.html

Planning and Statistics Authority. (2022). Labor force sample survey 2022: Statistical analysis. https://www.psa.gov.qa/en/statistics/Statistical%20Releases/Social/LaborForce/2022/LF_Q2_2022_AE.pdf

Planning and Statistics Authority. (2022). Labour force sample survey. State of Qatar. Retrieved from https://www.psa.gov.qa/en/statistics/Statistical%20Releases/Social/LaborForce/2022/labor_force_sample_survey_Q4_2022.pdf

PwC. (2018). What will be the net impact of AI and related technologies on jobs in the UK? *UK Economic Outlook*. https://www.pwc.co.uk/economic-services/ukeo/ukeo-july18-net-impact-ai-uk-jobs.pdf

Qatar Airways. (2022). Corporate sustainability report: Diversity and inclusion in technological innovation. Qatar Airways Corporate Communications. https://www.qatarairways.com/content/dam/documents/environmental/sustainability-report-2022-2023.pdf

Qatar Computing Research Institute (QCRI). (2025). Qatar Computing Research Institute. Qatar Foundation. Retrieved February 17,

2025, from https://www.qf.org.qa/research/qatar-computing-research-institute

Qatar Foundation. (2023). Artificial intelligence. In *2023 Year in Review*. Retrieved from https://reports.qf.org.qa/2023-year-in-review/artificial-intelligence#chapter1

Qatar Government Communications Office. (2025). Qatar National Vision 2030: Our story. Retrieved from https://www.gco.gov.qa/en/about-qatar/national-vision2030/

Qatar Planning and Statistics Authority. (2023). *Women and men in the State of Qatar: A statistical profile 2023*. https://www.psa.gov.qa/en/statistics/Statistical%20Releases/Social/GenrealSocialStatistics/MenWomenProfile/2023/Woman_Man_2023_EN.pdf

Qatar University. (2022). Fact book 2021-22. Qatar University. Available at: https://www.qu.edu.qa/SiteImages/static_file/qu/offices%20and%20departments/Chief%20of%20Strategy%20and%20Development/documents/Fact%20Book%202021-22%20(EN).pdf

Rex Martinez, Artificial Intelligence: Distinguishing Between Types & Definitions, 19 NEV. L.J. 1015 (2019). Available at: https://scholars.law.unlv.edu/nlj/vol19/iss3/9

Samsodien, R. (2024). Women's economic roles in Islamic law: The economic participation of Muslim women. *SeekersGuidance*. Retrieved from https://seekersguidance.org/articles/women/womens-economic-roles-in-islamic-law-the-economic-participation-of-muslim-women-shaykha-rukayya-samsodien/

Sánchez-García, P., Merayo-Álvarez, N., Calvo-Barbero, C., & Diez-Gracia, A. (2023). Spanish technological development of artificial intelligence applied to journalism: Companies and tools for documentation, production and distribution of information. El profesional de la información, 32(2). https://doi.org/10.3145/epi.2023.mar.08

Shamlawi, H., & Saqfalhait, N. (2018). Economic Empowerment of Women in Arab Countries. An-Najah University Journal for Research - B (Humanities), 32(11), 2101–2118. https://doi.org/10.35552/0247-032-011-005

Smith, A., Johnson, B., & Davis, C. (2021). The Impact of Digital Transformation on Managerial Roles. Journal of Management Innovation, 42, 57-78. https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=3581545

Tang, C., Li, S., Hu, S., Zeng, F., & Du, Q. (2025). Gender disparities in the impact of generative artificial intelligence: Evidence from academia. *PNAS nexus*, *4*(2), p.591. https://doi.org/10.1093/pnasnexus/pgae591

UNESCO, Global Education Monitoring Report Team. (2024). Global education monitoring report 2024, gender report: technology on her terms. Springer. https://doi.org/10.54676/WVCF2762

UNESCO, Inter-American Development Bank, & Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2022). The effects of AI on the working lives of women. Available at: https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000380861

Yin, D., Li, M., & Qiu, H. (2023). Do customers exhibit engagement behaviors in AI environments? The role of psychological benefits and technology readiness. Tourism Management, 97, 104745. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2023.104745

Zaidan, E., Ibrahim, I.A. AI Governance in a Complex and Rapidly Changing Regulatory Landscape: A Global Perspective. Humanit Soc Sci Commun 11, 1121 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-03560-x